

Record of Public Consultation and Actions 2012-2016

1 Event	2 Date	3 Name of Organisation	4 Issues Raised	5 Response by SNP	6. Response & Action Authorised by	7 Action/Relevant Policies	8. Location of Document
Public Meeting: Pre launch event	Nov. 2012	Public Meeting	(a)Protecting Shipston's Unique Identity (b)Improving Employment Opportunities (c)Investing in Future health Services (d) developing services for 16 to 19 year olds	(a)Noted – See ENV 1 (b) noted c) Outside scope of NP (d)Further clarification needed	PS	(a)Incorporated in Vision Statement & ENV1 (b) Incorporated in Policy EC1 d) Seek further responses relevant to Policy INF 6	Reported in Forum
Shipston on Stour Housing Needs Survey	January 2014	Shipston Town Council	Need for Alternative Housing. Recommended 50 more houses,24 rented from housing Assoc.; 2 shared ownership; 24 local market ownership. Suitable land be sought	Noted		Incorporated in Policies H2-H7	www.shipstonnp.org/documents.html?file=files/Neighbourhood%20Plan/downloadable-assets/ShipstonNPEvidenceGatheringFiles/HNS%20Report.pdf
Meeting with Estate Agents, reported via email	April 2014	Sheldon Bosley; Peter Clake; Seccombes	Phasing of new build housing schemes; Numbers of dwellings sold per annum in Shipston and recent trends	Noted		Policy H1 to H3	
email	29/05/14	Severn Trent Water PK	Capacity of Fell Mill Sewage Works : Adequate and room for expansion	Noted		F1	
Meetings with Medical Centre	17/06/14 (RT) and 26/11/15 (BC)	Shipston Surgery	Need for expansion of premises. Negotiations ongoing for land on the ASL development on Campden Rd	Noted		INF 6 & 7	PK/Dropbox
Phone Contact PK	August 2014	Shipston Scouts	Recently occupied new premises, already fully subscribed	Noted		INFO 6	PK
Meeting	Oct. 2014	Shipston Pre school RT					

			<p>General Comments:</p> <p>(a) "Like Town Centre /shops (13)(22%)</p> <p>(b) "Do not change Town Centre" (20) (34%) Combined =56%</p> <p>(c) "Town Centre too small" (1)</p> <p>(d) Avoid empty shops (1)</p> <p>(e) Poor public transport (1)</p> <p>(f) One Way Traffic: For (15)(25%) Against (2) (3%) No traffic from Sheep St to Square (1) Traffic calming (1)</p> <p>(g) Parking in Town Centre: A Problem (12) (20%) No Problem (13) (22%) Make Tel. St parking free for 1h (7) (12%) More Disabled Parking needed (6) (10%) Charge for Parking in Town centre (2) Car parking spaces too small (2) Parking wardens needed(1)</p> <p>(h) Pedestrianise Town Centre: For: 8 (14%) Against 5 (8%)</p> <p>Other Comments</p> <p>(i) Cycle Route across town(1)</p> <p>Rate of Development of House Building: (j) Shipston is growing too fast (8) (14%) No more houses (5) (8%) Combined = 22%</p> <p>(k) Type of Development: Need affordable housing (15) (25%) Need for starter and family homes (5) (8%) Variety of housing according to need (4)(7%)</p>	<p>(a) Centre is a conservation area</p> <p>(b) Incorporated in questionnaire January 2016</p> <p>(c) Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(d) Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(e) Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(f) Noted. Incorporated in questionnaire Jan 2016</p> <p>(g) Noted included in questionnaire Jan 2016</p> <p>(h) Noted included in Questionnaire 2016</p> <p>(i) Noted</p> <p>(j) Noted included in questionnaire</p> <p>(k) Noted. included in questionnaire</p>	<p>(g) INF 4</p> <p>(h) INF 4</p> <p>(i) INF 5</p> <p>(j) H 1</p> <p>(k) H1-5</p> <p>H 7</p> <p>(m) INF 1-7</p> <p>(n) F1-5 (o) INF 6-7</p> <p>(p) Env 4 & 5</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

			<p>Small development rather than large estates (4) (7%) Housing for elderly (2) There is enough cheaper housing (1) Sensitive use of building materials (1) (l) Location of Housing: To west of Town (5) (8%) Avoid top of slopes (2) Far side of river (2) To N or S of Town (1) On periphery (1) Use brownfield sites (1) Infrastructure: (m) A concern (12) (20%) (n) Concern about flooding (5)(8%) (o)More School capacity (4) Environment: (p)Green spaces important (3) (q)Facilities/play areas for children (2) (r)Sustainability of Town (1) (s) Like riverside walk (1) (t) Minimise danger to environment (1) Don't lose local feel (1) Economic: (u) Starter units for business (1) (v)Superfast broadband (1) (w) Prioritise employment over housing (1)</p>	<p>(l) Noted (m) Noted (n) Noted (o) Noted (p) Noted : included in questionnaire Jan 2016 & Youth survey Jan 2016 (q) Included in Youth survey jan 2016 (r) Noted (s)Noted (t) Noted (u)Noted (v) Noted (w) Balance required</p>	<p>(q) ENV 4 & 5 (r) INF 1-7 (s) Env 4 (t) ENV 1-6 ENV 1 (u) EC1 &3 (v) EC3</p>	
Meeting with Primary School Head	15/06/15	Shipston Primary School RT;EL;EJ				
Consultation at Primary School fete	June 2015	Shipston Primary School	[Nos of responders in brackets() %= % of total responders		(a)INF 4	Drop Box/PK

14
respon
ders

(a) Parking is an issue (9) (64%)	(a) Noted included in Questionnaire	(b) INF 6
(b) The school is in a good location (7) (50%)	(b) Noted	
(c) No problems (1)	(c) Noted	
(d) There is no parking problem (1)	(d) Included in questionnaire	(e) INF 7
(e) School is in a poor location (1)	(e) A minority view	
(f) Expand to other side of Tileman's lane (5) (36%)	(f) Noted Included in questionnaire	(f) INF 6 & 7 Conflicts with EC1?
(g) No to split site, enlarge present site (2)	(g) Noted	(g) INF 6 & 7
(h) Don't build on playing fields (2)	(h) Noted	(h) ENV2 INF 6
(i) Build upwards (2)	(i) Noted	(i) INF 6
(j) Buy Turbo Blade site for parking (1)	(j) beyond remit of NP	
(k) Build next to High School (1)	(k) Noted	(k) INF 7
(L) Build on BT exchange site (1)	(L) Noted	(L) INF 7
(m) Build new school near cemetery (1)	(m) Noted	(m) INF 6 & 7
(n) Stay on present site (3) (21%)	(n) Noted	(n) INF 6
(o) Instead of school, use site for business starter units (2) (14%)	(o) Noted	(o) EC1
(p) Use site as open or green space (2)	(p) Noted	(p) ENV 5
(q) Do not use for housing (1)	(q) Noted	(q) INF 6
(r) Use for housing or employment (1)	(r) Noted	(r) H6
Shipston needs:		
(s) More small business units (6) (43%)	(s) Noted	(s) EC1
(t) High speed broadband (2)	(t) Noted	(t) EC 3
(u) Lower rents & rates (2)	(u) Beyond remit of NP	
(v) Define business priorities/strategy (1)	(v) Noted	(v) EC1-4
(w) Craft village (1)	(w) Noted	(w) EC 3
(x) Serviced offices (1)	(x) Noted	(x) EC3
(y) Improved bus services (1)	(y) Beyond remit of NP	

Commented [PK1]:

		<p>Primary School: (e)Site under pressure (1); (f)do not share High School site (1);</p> <p>(g)A new primary school needed (1); (h)Extend Primary school site to include Turbine Blading site(1)</p> <p>The Town: (i) Local shops are valued (6) (23%) (j)Leave Shipston alone/ retain character (2) (8%)</p> <p>(k)Market needed (2)</p> <p>(l)More car parking eg field opposite the Mill (1) (m)Keep parking free (1)</p> <p>(n)Reduce parking in High St. (1) (o)Need a visitor centre (1) (p)Make St Edmunds Church graveyard a public space (1) (q)New surgery needed (1)</p> <p>(r)Cinema needed (1)</p> <p>(s)Extend hours of swimming pool (1)</p> <p>(t)Improve gym and sports hall (2)</p> <p>(u)Petrol station needed (1)</p> <p>(v)Make Shipston more upmarket (1) (w)Do not pedestrianise the Town Centre(1).</p>	<p>(f)Beyond scope of NP</p> <p>(g) Noted</p> <p>(h) Conflicts with EC1?</p> <p>(i) Noted</p> <p>(j)Change is ongoing but Town Centre a Conservation Area</p> <p>(k)Beyond scope of NP</p> <p>(l) Noted</p> <p>(m) beyond scope of NP</p> <p>(n) Noted</p> <p>(o) Noted</p> <p>(p) Noted</p> <p>(q) Ongoing</p> <p>(r)Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(s) Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(t) Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(u) Ongoing</p> <p>(v)Noted</p> <p>(w) Noted.included</p>	<p>(g)INF 6 & 7</p> <p>(h) H6</p> <p>(i)EC2</p> <p>(l) ENV4 (c)</p> <p>(n)INF4</p> <p>(o) EC2</p> <p>(p)Action ed 2017</p>
--	--	---	---	--

				in questionnaire jan 2016	(v)EC2 &3?	
		(x)Developments should be compatible with existing town (1)	(x) Noted		(w)INF 4	
		(y)A second shopping centre needed (1)	(y)Noted		(x)INF 4	
		Facilities for Youth:				
		(z)Extend Youth Club (3) (12%)	(z)Noted			
		(z1)More activities needed (2) (8%)	(z1)Noted		(y)EC3	
		(z2)Provide 5 a side pitches (1)	(z2)noted			
		(z3)Bowling alley for children (1)	(z3) noted		(z) INF6	
		(z4)More employment for the young (1)	(z4) Noted		(z1) INF 6	
		Housing:			(z2)INF 7	
		(z5)No housing by the river or on hill tops (3) (12%)	(z5) Noted		(z3) INF 7	
		(z6) More affordable housing (1)	(z6)Noted		(z4) EC1-4	
		(z7)Prefer small housing developments (1)	(z7)Noted		(z5) ENV 1	
		(z8)No more houses (1)	(z8)Not possible			
		Transport:			(z6)H2	
		(z9) Bus to Moreton needed (2)	(z9) Outside remit of NP		(z7) H1	
		Improve bus services (1)				
		Improve rail service from Moreton to London (1)				
		Late bus to Stratford (1)				
		Economic:				
		(z10)Need for small business park (7) (27%)	(z10) Noted			
		(z11) Days of manufacturing in Shipston are over -Focus on retail, tourism and a market (5) (19%)	(z11)		(z10) EC3	
		(z12) Financial incentives needed to attract business (4) (15%)	(z12) Beyond remit of NP		(z11) EC2	
		(z13)Attract High tech industry (2)	(z13) noted			
		(z14) Attract tourists (1)	(z14)Noted			
		Infrastructure:				
		(z15) Superfast broadband needed (5) (19%)	(z15) Noted		(z13) EC3	
		(z16)Concern at overloaded infrastructure (3) (12%)	(z16) Noted		(z14)EC2	

			(z17) Improved mobile phone access (1)	(z17) Noted		(z15) EC3 (z16) INF 6 & 7 (z17) INF 3	
SCAN Meeting	08/09/15	SCAN	(a) Need for enlarged medical centre (b) Low Cost housing (c) Need for employment (d) need for land for small scale businesses (e) Financial incentives to attract new business (f) Use CiL money to open St Edmunds Graveyard to public (g) More Town Centre Parking Needed : eg opposite Mill Car park, beyond river (h) Arrangements for consulting neighbouring authorities for developing adjoining land? (i) New supermarket will be detrimental to Town	(a) In progress (b) Noted (c) Noted (d) Noted: (e) Beyond scope of NP (g) Potential conflict with Environment policies and riverside (h) All local parish councils SDC, WCC consulted (i) Outside scope of NP		(a) Policies INF6 & 7 (b) H3 (c) EC2; EC3 (d) EC1 (f) Actioned 2017 INF 4	PK & Dropbox
Letter from Rev D. Pulham		Shipston Baptist Church	Need for Church Premises eg Tileman's Lane	Noted		INF 6	File in NCH
Meeting	25/09/15	Mid Counties Coop BC					
Group Meeting U3A	27/10/15	U3A	(a) Additional GP capacity needed (b) Shipston Home Nursing needs further resources (c) Town Centre is attractive, 'small is beautiful'	Outside remit of NP (b) Outside remit of NP (c) Noted (d) Noted		(c) INF 4	BC/RT Dropbox

			(d) Do not overload infrastructure (e) Protect cultural life (f) There are business opportunities in the town (g) If not broken leave alone	(e)Noted (f)Noted (g)Current expansion of population will require growth and change		(d)INF 3 & 6 (e)INF 6 (f) EC1	
Meeting	Nov 2015	Lloyds bank BC					
Email	20/11/15	Stroke Club	Will attend Open Day	Noted			
Email	30/11/15	Shipston Home Nursing	Open Day not relevant to them	Noted			
Meeting EL;RT with headteacher	May 2015	Shipston High School	Plans for school expansion and significance of neighbouring land: community use.	noted		INF 6 & 7	Dropbox: stakeholder meetings
Meeting EL;RT;EJ with headteacher	15/06/15	Shipston Primary School	Implications for school and neighbouring schools of meeting current and future housing expansion	Noted		INF 6 & 7	Dropbox : stakeholder Meetings
Meeting with President of Stour Valley Lions. By email PK	17/09/15	Stour Valley Lions	(a)The Lions own a building in the Town are affected by floods – support for flood prevention. (b) Support for free parking in telegraph St (c) Support for pedestrianisation of High St. (d) The Lions own storage facilities in New St Parking Area – redevelopment would be a problem for them. (e) President believed the club would not favour allotments being moved to make additional parking. (f) maintenance problems of car park	(a) Noted (b) N/A to NP (c) Noted (d)Noted (e) Noted N/A to NP		(a)F1-5 (b) N/A (c) INF 4 (d) INF 4 INF 4	
Meeting EJ; RT Simon Plank (12/10/15	Stour Valley Baptist Church	Site for new church. Possible mixed use development of Tilemans Lane	Noted		H6	Dropbox : stakeholder meetings

Stour Valley Baptist Church)							
Meeting RT;EJ; Pat Sandford	02/11/15	AR Taylor(trustees of land plot	Potential Housing Site owned by AR Taylor				Dropbox: Stakeholder Meeting
SNP Open Day in Scout Hut	12/12/15	Public Consultation	<p>(a) Bad lighting</p> <p>(b)Builders should put money into infrastructure</p> <p>(c)Congratulations for a very good exhibition. Someone has done a lot of work on how we behave.</p> <p>(d) Family have lived in Shipston for 14 years</p> <p>(e) Had to ..A3400 site</p> <p>(f) I suspect you have not missed anything but it is an awful lot to take on.</p> <p>(g) In all cases the aspirations are fine but it is not clear how they can be realised. What you want is not in your gift.</p> <p>(h)Infrastructure: poorly covered, many areas not covered</p> <p>(i)Medical centre is the key issue.</p> <p>(j) Most interested in opening up a river walk</p> <p>(k) Not enough time to assimilate properly infrastructure, economy & flooding</p> <p>l) Old Road could be one way or sleeping policeman</p>	<p>(a) Noted</p> <p>(b)Noted</p> <p>(c)Noted</p> <p>(d) No issues raised</p> <p>(e)Comment unclear</p> <p>(f)No issues raised</p> <p>(g)The SNP is a planning document which will influence future developments in the town</p> <p>(h)More details needed</p> <p>(i)Noted</p> <p>(j)Noted</p> <p>(k)Noted</p> <p>(l)Noted : matter for Town Council/WCC</p>	<p>(a)Noted for future exhibitions</p> <p>(b)INF 7</p> <p>(c)Noted for future exhibitions</p> <p>(g)Noted _ see background to neighbourhood Plan. Future consultations to be clear about parameters & limitations</p> <p>(i)INF 6</p> <p>(j)ENV 4</p> <p>(k)Time allocation for future</p>	Collated by MF. Sent by email to group To group	

			<p>(m) Referring to questions answered needed more but got sent to other people</p> <p>(n) Thank you</p> <p>(o) Trying to cross the road outside John Lines with a wheel chair to go up the Campden Rd is not possible you have to walk in the road</p> <p>(p) Well done a huge amount of work</p> <p>(q) Why is there so much emphasis on 'affordable housing' I would prefer to have more high value housing to attract well employed residents rather than too many on benefits.</p> <p>(r) Worked here for 20 yrs. Thank goodness the deafening music was eventually turned off.</p>	<p>(m)Enquiries were referred to the appropriate lead</p> <p>(n)Noted</p> <p>(o)Noted</p> <p>(p)Noted</p> <p>(q)Housing policy reflects the identified need</p> <p>(r)No relevant issues raised</p>	<p>exhibitions to be longer if possible</p> <p>(o) INF 4</p> <p>(q)H 1</p>	
Email contact	16/12/15	Frank Wardak	Enquiry as to housing allocation in Shipston	Clarification of housing allocation	H7	Pk & EJ
Brian Cooper(Town Councillor)	07/01/16	Feedback on Draft Policies	<p><i>Detailed recommendations, too detailed for this document, are made for all five policy areas in the email from BC on 07/01/16/</i></p> <p><i>Heads of section please indicate on the original email a response and action if any. Please also indicate on this document they have dealt with these recommendations.</i></p>			Copies to all committee

Email comment from MF, Town Councillor	03/01/16		<p><i>Detailed recommendations, too detailed for this document, are made for all five policy areas in the email from BC on 07/01/16/</i></p> <p><i>Heads of section please indicate on the original email a response and action if any. Please also indicate on this document they have dealt with these recommendations.</i></p>		<p>(a)Response to MF from PW 21/01/16</p> <p>Copy in Dropbox</p> <p>(b)Response to BC by PW 25/01/16</p>		<p>(a)Copies to all committee members Members</p> <p>(b) Copies of all members Copy in dro box</p>
Email	05/01/16	Town Clerk	<p>(a) Write PROW in full</p> <p>(b) PROW ss120a Better to write description of location</p> <p>(c) No mention of Ellen Badger</p> <p>(d) Include a summary for general public</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>RT writing to WCC health to enquire as to plans for Ellen Badger</p> <p>Noted</p>		<p>(a)ENV 1</p> <p>(e) E N V 4</p> <p>IN F 6</p>	

Comment from Ronnie Murphy, Town Councillor	10/01/16	Verbal communication to PW	<p>(a)Some areas are still assuming that the new medical centre is already on its way. This doesn't seem likely, even by 2020.</p> <p>(b)You have done a lot of work, I can see and understand much more about flooding</p> <p>(c) You must be aware that you can't assume that there could be an increase in allotments on Shoulderway Lane as this ground may have to be allocated to the burial ground.</p>	<p>(a) Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(b)Noted</p> <p>(c)Noted –see New Allotment in Rec Zone. Capacity of burial ground= 50 yrs+</p>		(c)Implications for ENV6 & 7	
Feedback from 2 nd Open Day	14/01/16	Open Day	<p>(a)Only provide sufficient affordable homes that you need. They are still 80% cost to buy or rent than the open market. Most will not be able to buy or rent them. County Council will then impose others on you and experience elsewhere shows this brings problems.</p> <p>(b)Starter business units needed</p> <p>(c) Planning outside of existing boundaries should be resisted. Aspiration to provide affordable housing is admirable but current prices make even affordable houses expensive in relation to income.</p> <p>(d) Riverside leisure development would be an attraction to the town provided adequate parking available.</p> <p>(e) Pressure on housing developers should be applied to make sure they provide more than the minimum they can get away with.</p> <p>(f) Wool Fair promotes a lot of heritage value. Museum of local interest should be promoted.</p>	<p>(a) Noted</p> <p>(b) Noted</p> <p>(c) See Env 5</p> <p>Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>Beyond remit of NP</p>		<p>(a)H1</p> <p>(b)EC1</p> <p>(c)H1</p> <p>(d)ENV 4</p>	

Email from Sheelagh Saunders	16/02/16		<p>understanding of what could be available: R. Stour, public footpaths, green space, picnic areas.</p> <p>(v) Consider the older population in Shipston when relocating health services – how will they get there?</p> <p>(w) Shipston needs more employment opportunities</p> <p>(x) Ensure developers take on board the importance of preventing flooding. 2 thoughts regarding the land behind the Band Hall towards the river:-</p> <p>i believe that this land is gifted to the town for the benefit of the townfolk. Currently it is for the benefit of the allotment holders. It could be incorporated into a Riverside Walk scheme, or at least a Riverside Park, and the allotments moved to the mooted new site along A3400.</p> <p>The land to the West/South of the Scout Hut:- A recreation area that no-one uses. Turn this into allotments</p>	<p>(v) Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>(w) Noted</p> <p>(x) Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>		<p>(w)EC1 – 4</p> <p>(x) F1</p>	
Email	17/01/16	Izzi Hazlewood Chair, Stretton on Fosse PC	Request by Chair of Stretton on Fosse PC for draft proposals	Info supplied			
Email contact	17/01/16	Frank Wardak	Discussion as to housing capacity of potential site at Ridgway as referenced in draft questionnaire	Noted	Agreed: No change	H7	
letter	15/01/16	Barcheston & Willington Parish	(a) B & W parish will not allow Shipston NP to include land bordering the river which is	Noted Response by letter 27/01/16			

			within their parish boundary (b) B & W parish object to potential housing development on land along London Road	Noted as above				
email	25/01/16	David Hughes, Ettington PC	Thanks for info; impressed by your NP	Noted				
email	25/01/16	Chris Lane (RCA Regeneration/Barrett Homes to MF)	Ref Shoulderway scheme	Noted	Discussion with NP offered			
Letter sent by RT	25/01/16	Eva Neale WCC health planner WCC	Requesting info. On future plans for medical centre and Ellen Badger Hospital	Reply indicating 'working with all GP practices to ensure primary care is sustainable'			PK & RT	
Email	01/02/16	Frank Wardack. Land owner The Ridgeway	Observations on meeting of Barcheston and Willington Parish Council with ref to land I their parish owned by Frank Wardack	Discussion ongoing – meeting to be arranged			PK	
Consultation with Youth Club members Yrs 7 & 8	02/02/16	PK with questionnaire to YC members	Likes: Likes open countryside/open space (8); shops (7); Friendly (7) Sports/leisure Centre (6) Youth club (4); small size (3) Dislikes: Not enough shops(5); too many houses (4); nothing (3);not enough open space (2); too many shops (1)	Noted Number & variety of shops outside remit of NP.		Sports/leisure/youth club are in INF 6 & 7 Number & variety of shops is EC1 (d) Open Space : ENV 1 & 4		
email	23/02/16	Jonathan Ward	Comment against restriction in parking in Shipston TC	Reply from MF : Concern noted				
email	29/02/16	Matthew Neal SDC	Initial comments by SDC on draft Policies				PK	
email	09/03/16	Mervyn Jones	Enquiry as to timescale of NP and relevance of	Reply from MF: Setting timescale and	MF	N/A	Pk/MF email	

			NP if there is no Local Plan from SDC	NP anticipated to be adopted after SDC Core Strategy is adopted					
Email	15/03/16	Matthew Neal SDC	Comments on draft flood policies by Paul Rimen, Flood risk & water management Officer, WCC. Also comments by Matthew Neal on Draft Policies supplementing those made on 29/02/16					PK	Commented [PK2]: F
Meeting with Trevor Pugh by EJ & PS	15/02/16	N/A	Mr Pugh concerned he had not been consulted concerning land adjacent to 6, Basket Hall ref. proposed zoning as Local green Space	Any proposed dwelling on the plot in question would be in significant elevated position and as such would be contrary to the intentions and principles of ENV2 and ENV3. Granted planning permissions and sites under construction represent an over-build of some 120% over requirements in the LPA Core Strategy. (Five-year land supply is currently met.) Development on elevated position would be contrary to the conditions and observation of the Planning Inspector in the Appeal Decision APP/33720/A/12/2181956 on Planning Application 12/00793/OUT. (Land West of Hornsby Close	EJ; PS	No Change		PK/EJ/PS	
email	14/04/16	MF to Shipston Town Council	Notification of report on Questionnaire	N/A					

Meeting with Shipston High School Student Council	15/04/16	NP?Shipston High School	A variety of Likes/Dislikes and Potential Improvements to Shipston which will form the basis of a questionnaire to be given to all students.	Formulation of questionnaire for all High School Students	PK/BC/VH	N/A	See document Summary of Responses (PK)
Meeting	18/04/16	Shipston Visually Impaired Group	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parking /Traffic Need for one way system in Town Centre 2. Car parking in Telegraph Street Car Park 3. Finance are limited as The area is viewed as affluent by SDC and WCC 4. Support for medical Centre and Petrol Station 5. Support for green Spaces and footpaths 6. Support for action against flooding 7. Ability of Gov. Planning Inspector to appreciate Local issues 8. Opposition to further housing except affordable/social housing And for the elderly 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted 2. Noted 3. Noted 4. Noted 5. Noted 6. Noted 7. Noted 8. Noted 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Refer to TC and WCC N/A to NP 5. N/A to NP 	<p>INF 4</p> <p>5. ENV 5 & INF 5</p> <p>9. F1 to 5</p> <p>8. H1 to 4</p>	See Summary of Main Points of Meeting by PK
email	20/04/16		Consultant's Report on FRA and drainage issues concerning the application to build houses off Shoulderway lane plus comments by SHARD	Noted		N/A to NP	
Group Meeting	18/04/16	Shipston Visually impaired	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need for one way traffic through High St/market St 2. Pedestrian crossing in Church St. is dangerously close to the bend where traffic emerges from West St. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Noted 2. Noted Beyond remit of NP Refer to Town Council and WCC. 		<p>1. INF 4</p> <p>2. INF 4</p>	PK

			<p>3. Need for clearer signs in Telegraph St car park</p> <p>4. South Warwickshire / Shipston is viewed as an affluent area and hence receives limited finance from local and national government sources although there is a distinct 'underclass' of poor people in Shipston.</p> <p>5. Strong support for a new medical Centre and Petrol Station.</p> <p>6 Support for more green spaces and footpaths in the area</p> <p>7 Support for action to alleviate flooding</p> <p>8 The ability of the government inspector who assess the NP to understand local issues was questioned</p> <p>9 generally considered Shipston has reached the limit of expansion but there is still a big need for affordable/social housing and housing for the elderly</p>	<p>3 Noted Refer to Town Council & WCC</p> <p>6. Beyond remit of NP</p> <p>7. Beyond Remit of NP</p> <p>6 Noted</p> <p>7 Noted</p> <p>8 beyond remit of NP</p> <p>9 Noted</p>	<p>3 INF 4</p> <p>6 ENV 5</p> <p>7 F1 to F5</p> <p>9 H3 &H4</p>
--	--	--	---	---	---

Phone contact	27/07/16	Linfoot Homes	Ref. The Ridgeway proposed development. Request for information on what the Draft Plan said about the Ridgeway and the number of houses on the site	Draft Plan likely to be submitted In the Autumn with 16 houses as indicative proposal	EJ	No change	EJ/PK
1 Event	2.Date	3.Name of Organisation	4. Issues Raised	5.Response by SNP	6. Response & Action Authorised by	7. Action/ Relevant Policies	8. Location of Document